

## Design of Carbon-based Oxygen Reduction Electrode for Fuel Cell

Seong Ihl Woo

Chang Hyuck Choi, Min Wook Chung, Sung Hyeon Park Jae Kang Koh, Young Jin Jeon

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology Daejeon, 305-701 (Republic of Korea) siwoo@kaist.ac.kr

**Danish Korean PEMFC Workshop 2013** 

November 18, 2013, Seoul, Korea





### Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Binary and Ternary doping of Nitrogen, Boron, and Phosphorus into Carbon for Enhancing Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction Reaction
- 3. Nitrogen-doped Graphene/Carbon Nanotube Self-Assembly for Efficient Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Acid Media
- 4. Enhanced Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction Reaction by Restacking of N-doped Single Graphene Layers
- 5. Conclusion





#### **Thermodynamics in PEMFCs**

Anode :  $H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2e^-$ Cathode :  $1/2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O$ 

 $Total: H_2 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O \qquad (1.23 \text{ V})$ 

(0 V) Hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR)(1.23 V) Oxygen reduction reaction (ORR)

*Reversible cell potential = 1.23 V* 







#### **Problems** Gas Catalyst PEM Catalyst Gas diffusion electrode membrane electrode diffusion backing backing layer lave Oxygen gas from Hydrogen gas from air in serpintine flow serpintine flow field field finds a pathway finds a pathway to Pathway o to catalyst layer hydrogen ior catalyst layer conduction Pathway of water from catalyst layer Carbon nanoparticles ays of electron Platin catalyst Platinum catalysts



<u>\$1,200,000</u>



#### Various Alternatives

- 1. Lean-Pt catalysts *e.g. Pt-M alloys*
- 2. Noble metal catalysts *e.g. Pd, Ir, or Ru*
- 3. Transition metal catalysts *e.g. TiN, CoSe, WC*
- 4. Non-metal catalysts *e.g. Carbon based catalysts*

#### [Purpose of the research]

1. Find carbon based catalyst having *high ORR activity* with *cheap price*.





## Binary and Ternary Doping of Nitrogen, Boron, and Phosphorus into Carbon for Enhancing Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction Activity

ACS Nano 6 (2012) 7084-7091





### Purpose of the study

### A new strategy for enhancing ORR activity

#### Additional doping of heteroatoms

		12	2	13		14		15		16	17	18		
e	ta	als										2 He Helium 4.002602	2	к
	addon			5 B Boron 10.811	3	6 4 C Carbon 12.0107	2	7 N Nitrogen 14.0087	240	8 6 O Crygen 15.9994	9 7 F Fluorine 18.9984032	10 Ne Neon 20.1797	8	ĸ
	ISES			13 Al Aluminium 28.9815388	2 8 5	14 <sup>2</sup> Si Silicon 28.0855	2	15 P Phosphorus 30.973782	205	16 8 S Sultur 32.065	17 Cl Chlorine 35.453	18 Ar Argon 39.948	2 8 8	K L M
1	2 8 8	30 Zn <sup>Znc</sup> 65.38	2 8 18 2	31 Ga Galium 69.723	2 10 3	32 Ge Germanium 72.84	2 8 8	33 As Arsenic 74.92160	20105	34 2 Se 3 Selenium 78.98	35 <sup>2</sup> Br Bromine 79.904	36 Kr Kypton 83.798	2 10 0	K L M N
1	2 8 8 1 8	48 Cd Cedmium 112.411	2 8 18 18 2	49 In Indum 114.818	20 10 10 0	50 50 Sn 5 Th 118.710	2 8 8 8	51 Sb Antimony 121.760	2010105	52 58 Te 16 Telurium 127.80	53 <sup>2</sup> 53 <sup>10</sup> 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	54 Xe Xenon 131.293	2 10 10 0	KL MNO
101	2 8 8 12 18 1	80 Hg Mercury 200.59	2 6 18 10 18 2	81 <b>TI</b> Thellum 204.3833	2 10 32 10 32	82 8 <b>Pb</b> 32 Lead 4 207.2	2 8 7 8	83 Bi Bismuth 208.98040	2010 210 5	84 28 Po 32 Polonium (206.9824)	85 At Astatine (209.9871)	86 <b>Rn</b> (222.0176)	2 10 10 10 10 0	KLM NOP
1001	2 8 10 12 10 1	112 Uuto Ununblur (285)	2 6 15 32 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	113 Ununthum (284)	2 10 32 10 32 10 32	114 Uuq <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup>	2 8 2 2 8 4	115 Uup (288)	2 8 10 2 10 10 10	116 Uuh Ununhezium 18 (292)	117 Uus Uuneptum	118 Uuo Uhunoctium (294)	0 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	K LMN OP O



 $\mathbf{\nabla}$ 

Acid treatment with aqua regia

#### **Final catalysts**

- 1. NDC: N-doped carbon
- 2. Dual doped carbon
  - 1. B,N-doped carbon
  - 2. P,N-doped carbon
- 3. Ternary doped carbon
  - 1. B,P,N-doped carbon





### **Preparation** of catalysts

1. Homogeneous powder





Carbonization

2.

### **ORR activities** of the prepared catalysts



- 3.
- ORR activity : BPNDC > PNDC > BNDC > NDC

Calculated mass activities at 0.6V (vs RHE) for the prepared Catalysts and N-doped catalysts reported by other research group : N-doped carbon prepared by traditional methods (a to d, pyrolysis of C-N containing precursor) and by modified methods (e to g, secondary pyrolysis or use of sacrificial supports).

-2.6

-3.0

-5.4

Mass activity

(mA/mg<sub>catal</sub>)



-6.0

## **XRD results** of the prepared catalysts



(a) NDC(b) BNDC(c) PNDC(d) BPNDC

- 1. Graphite is synthesized.
- Some metal residues (e.g. Co, Fe, or their alloy) are still remained after acid treatment → ∵graphite-encapsulation of metals prevent penetration of proton.
- B-doping : Crystallinity of graphite ↑ (∵ sp<sup>2</sup> bonding, similar atomic size)
- P-doping : Crystallinity of graphite ↓ (∵ sp<sup>3</sup> bonding, bigger atomic size)
- 5. Presence of P-source results in metal phosphide





### Disorder of carbon structure confirmed by Raman



Catalysts	NDC	BNDC	PNDC	BPNDC
D-band <sup>a</sup>	1355.2	1353.7	1358.4	1358.4
G-band <sup>a</sup>	1578.5	1576.9	1586.3	1584.7
$I_D/I_G^{b}$	0.67	0.60	0.83	0.68

<sup>a</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Intensity ratio of D- to G-band

1. Graphite structure : D- and G-band is arisen at around 1355 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

2.  $I_D/I_G$ : BNDC < NDC < BPNDC < PNDC

- B-doping : Graphite structure ↑
   (∵ sp<sup>2</sup> bonding, similar atomic size)
- P-doping : Disorder ↑
   sp<sup>3</sup> bonding, bigger atomic size)

KAIS1

(::



## Effects of **B-doping** as a function of ORR activity

### **1. order of graphite structure** $\uparrow$

*Niwa et al.* reported that ORR activity of N-doped carbon was improved with increasing  $sp^2$ -carbon network.<sup>1</sup>



Our previous study also reported that degree of  $sp^2$ -carbon network is one of the major factors, determining ORR activity of N-doped carbon.<sup>2</sup>

# Improvement of ORR activity as increasing sp<sup>2</sup>-carbon network, is due to increment of electron conductivity.<sup>3</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Niwa et al./ JPS 196 (2011) 1006
<sup>2</sup> Choi et al./ Appl. Catal. B: Environment, under review
<sup>3</sup>Podyacheva et al./ Carbon 47 (2009) 1922

### **2.** Amount of Pyridinic-N ↑

Order of activity according to the doping type of nitrogen :

**Pyridinic** > Graphitic > ... > Pyridinic oxide

Dopant	l	N-doping (%	)
type	$N_1$	$N_2$	$N_3$
NDC	44.1	45.1	10.8
BNDC	60.2	33.0	6.8
PNDC	53.6	38.7	7.7
BPNDC	63.8	31.5	4.7





## **XPS spectra of carbon** ( $C_{1s}$ ) in the catalysts





- Binding energy of C-C bonding is upshifted for NDC, BNDC : 0.15 eV PNDC, BPNDC : 0.23 eV
- 2. Up-shift of C-C binding energy is due to high electro-negativity of N atom.
- 3. In the case of incorporation of P atom, more electron delocalization from carbon atom are occurred rather than the case of N-doping, only.



## TEM images of the prepared catalysts



(a) NDC (b) BNDC (c) PNDC (d) BPNDC

- 1. NDC and BNDC : Horn-like shape consisted of several carbon layers stacked up
- 2. **PNDC** and **BPNDC** : Bamboo-like CNTs consisted of many open edge sites





### Effects of *P-doping* as a function of ORR activity

### **1. Enhanced charge delocalization**

*Gong et al.* argued that ORR activity of N-doped carbon was arisen from adjacent carbon atoms, electrondelocalized by dopants.<sup>1</sup>





<sup>1</sup> Gong et al./ Science 323 (2009) 760 <sup>2</sup> Kim et al./ PCCP 13 (2011) 17505 2. Production of edge sites



Many theoretical and experimental studies supports that edge sites doped by nitrogen have the highest ORR activity compared to other carbon sites.<sup>2</sup>





## Nitrogen-doped Graphene/Carbon Nanotube Self-Assembly for Efficient Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Acid Media

Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 144 (2013) 760-766



## **Experimental Strategy**



✓ Poor conductivity

✓ Small surface area



Additional N-doping for high ORR activity









# SEM, TEM, and XRD results of the prepared catalysts



- ✓ Graphene and CNT were successfully self-assembled
- $\checkmark$  CNT play a role as a spacer & an inhibitor in the restacking of graphene



## nemu

## **XPS results** of the prepared catalysts

Intensity



N1 : Pyridinic-N N2 : Pyrrolic- or graphitic-N N3 : Pyridinic-oxide

at.%		NCNT	NGr	NGCA	
C		91.1	93.4	90.0	
0		7.4	4.7	6.9	
N		1.5	1.9	3.1	
	N1	60.1	65.5	55.2	
N-proportion(%)	N2	35.0	29.0	34.9	
	N3	4.9	5.5	10.0	

✓ Similar proportion of N-phases✓ Pyridinic-N is the dominat site



## **ORR performance** of the prepared catalysts



(a) LSV curves in  $O_2$ -bubbled 1M HClO<sub>4</sub> solutions with 2000rpm of electrode rpm (b) Tafel-plots based on unit mass of the carbons (c) mass activities (mA/mg) calculated at 0.75 V (*vs.* RHE) (d) current-time chronoamperometric responses obtained at 0.6 V (*vs.* RHE) for 10h

- ✓ ~0.91 V (vs. RHE) of onset potential
- ✓ Six-fold higher mass activity than NGr (2.13mA/mg)
- ✓ Superior stability compared with Pt/C



## **Resistance** of the prepared catalysts



#### Graphene-CNT self-assembly





- ✓ Efficient transport of the reactant molecules due to the space between graphene layers
- ✓ Efficient transport of the electrons through the CNTs





## Enhanced Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction Reaction by Restacking of Ndoped Single Graphene Layers

RSC Advances 3 (2013) 4246-4253





### **Preparation of the catalysts**







### **XRD** results

(a) **XRD patterns** of the prepared catalysts and (b) **magnified XRD results** for modifies NGrs from 20° to 30° of 2-theta range.



- ✓ Broaden XRD patterns → successful reduction of GO
- ✓ Crystallinity : NGr-H<sub>DM</sub>LH<sub>DM</sub> > NGr-H<sub>DM</sub> > NGr-H<sub>M</sub> > NGr-H





### **TEM and SEM results**







### **Raman Spectroscopy**



✓ High  $I_D/I_G$  ratio

✓ 2D-band position : between single layer graphene and graphite
 → restacking of graphene layer





### Performance of the prepared catalysts (1) - Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)



✓ High reduction peak potential  $\Rightarrow$  good ORR performance

 $\checkmark$  NGr-H<sub>DM</sub>LH<sub>DM</sub> > NGr-H<sub>DM</sub> > NGr-H<sub>M</sub> > NGr





### Performance of the prepared catalysts (2) - Tafel plot & Mass activity



(a) Tafel plot of the prepared catalysts obtained from ORR results  $1M \text{ HClO}_4$  electrolyte and (b) calculated mass activities at 0.75 V (vs. RHE)

	NGr	NGr-H	NGr-H <sub>M</sub>	NGr-H <sub>MD</sub>	NGr-H <sub>MD</sub> LH <sub>MD</sub>
Onset potential (V, vs. RHE)	0.58	0.77	0.86	0.89	0.89
Mass activity (mA/mg) at 0.75 V (vs. RHE)	0	0.02	0.22	0.99	1.28





### Performance of the prepared catalysts (3) – ORR pathway



- ✓ Confirmed by RRDE measurement
- ✓ Near 4-electron transfer at 0.4V (vs. RHE)





### **Performance of the prepared catalysts (4) – Stability**



✓ i-t chronoamperometry at 0.6V (vs. RHE) for 10h
✓ Outperformed stability compared with Pt/C





### Acid leaching (1) - Linear Sweep Voltammetry Results



✓ Performance decreased after acid leaching





Acid leaching (2) - XPS-N<sub>1s</sub> Results



2853-2858



KAIS



### **Restacking of the graphene layers** (1) – correlated factors



- ✓ Concentration of the pyridinic-N vs. Mass activity
- ✓ No clear correlation  $\Rightarrow$  Not an important factor





### **Restacking of the graphene layers (2) – correlated factors**



- $\checkmark\,$  Proportion of the pyridinic-N vs. Mass activity
- $\checkmark$  One factor of the ORR performance
- $\checkmark$  Not very clear





### **Restacking of the graphene layers (3) – correlated factors**



- ✓ **Number of graphene layers** vs. Mass activity
- $\checkmark$  Crucial factor of the ORR performance
- ✓ Number of layers increased  $\Rightarrow$  Performance increased





### Sheet resistance of the prepared catalysts









RSC Advances 3 (2013) 4246-4253

